UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,064	12/16/2005	Haixiao Sun	42P21717	4017
45209 INTEL/BSTZ	7590 07/14/200	EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			SEMENENKO, YURIY	
	1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2841	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/561,064	SUN, HAIXIAO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	YURIY SEMENENKO	2841	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the reamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Apply be timely filed FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matte	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 and 18-30 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 and 18-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 December 2005 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ the drawing(s) be held in abeyan prection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application _·	

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Amendment filed on 05/26/2009 has been entered.

Claims 11 - 18 had been cancelled.

Claims 1-10 and 19-30 are now pending in the application.

Specification

2. The Specification amendments, filed on 05/26/2009 are considered and acknowledged. The Specification amendments are approved.

Claims

3. Claims 1 and 19 amendments, filed on 05/26/2009 are considered and acknowledged. The claim amendments are approved.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 5/09/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues "It is to be appreciated that the magnetic material 19 is formed on the back side of the flexible substrate 12 and is not formed on the substrate side as claimed by Applicant. Additionally, in a second embodiment, a ferromagnetic material 21 is formed in the printed circuit board 11. It is to be appreciated that the printed circuit board is not a surface mount component but rather is the substrate to which the surface mount component is mounted. As such, neither embodiment of Fukano disclose forming a magnetic layer on a substrate side of a surface mount component."

However Chan teaches in col. 4: 3-6 and col. 5:3-14 "a layer of ferromagnetic material

Art Unit: 2841

could be deposited in the bottom surface of the package adjacent to the pads [pads 43 and 44, fig. 4]. The number 21, fig. 1 use in the rejection only for reference to the magnetic layer, because fig. 4 has not number for the magnetic layer. Fukano also teaches a surface mount component 34, fig. 4. The Applicant cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., Inc., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Claim Objections

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities:
 Claim 3: before ENIG applicant is required to state the full term: "Electroless Nickel/Immersion gold".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6.1. Claims 1, 3-10, 19 and 21-27 are rejected under 35U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukano (US 5986348) hereinafter Fukano in view of Chan et al. (US 4983804) hereinafter Chan.

Regarding claim 1: Fukano discloses in Fig. 4 a microelectronic assembly comprising: a substrate 14 having bonding pads 12a and 12b disposed on a mounting surface thereof, the bonding pads including a ferromagnetic material 22 thereon (col. 2:26-27); a solidified solder 30 disposed on the bonding pads; a surface mount component 34 bonded to the substrate 14 by way of the solidified solder 30 and including a magnetic layer 32 disposed on a substrate thereof (col. 2:48-53), the magnetic layer to cooperate with the ferromagnetic material in the bonding pads to establish a magnetic force of a

sufficient magnitude to hold the surface mount component on the substrate before and during soldering (col. 2:45-53). The magnetic layer 32 is removed after magnetized. So Fukano doesn't explicitly teach that a surface mount component including a magnetic layer disposed on a substrate side thereof.

Chan teaches in fig. 4 a microelectronic assembly comprising: a substrate 10 having bonding pads 34, 35 disposed on a mounting surface thereof, mount component 40 (co. 4:10-13) bonded to the substrate including a magnetic layer 21, fig. 1 disposed on a substrate side thereof (col. 4: 3-6 and col. 5:3-14).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made for Fukano to include in his invention a surface mount component including a magnetic layer disposed on a substrate side thereof, as taught by Chan in order to provide a magnetic force of a sufficient magnitude to hold the surface mount component on the substrate before and during soldering.

Regarding claim 19: Fukano discloses in Fig. 4 a surface mount component 34 bonded to a bonding pads 12a and 12b of a substrate 14 by way of solidified solder 30, the surface mount component 34 including a magnetic layer 32 disposed on a substrate side thereof (col. 2:48-63), the magnetic layer to cooperate with a ferromagnetic material in the bonding pads to establish a magnetic force of a sufficient magnitude to hold the surface mount component on the substrate before and during, (col. 2:45-53). The magnetic layer 32 is removed after magnetized. So Fukano doesn't explicitly teach that a surface mount component including a magnetic layer disposed on a substrate side thereof.

Chan teaches in fig. 4 a microelectronic assembly comprising: a substrate 10 having bonding pads 34, 35 disposed on a mounting surface thereof, mount component 40 (co. 4:10-13) bonded to the substrate including a magnetic layer 21, fig. 1 disposed on a substrate side thereof (col. 4: 3-6 and col. 5:3-14).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made for Fukano to include in his invention a surface mount component including a magnetic layer disposed on a substrate side thereof, as taught

by Chan in order to provide a magnetic force of a sufficient magnitude to hold the surface mount component on the substrate before and during soldering.

Regarding claims 3, 6 and 23: Fukano as modified by the teaching of Chan, discloses the assembly having all of the claimed features as discussed above with respect to claim 1(19), wherein the bonding pads 12a and 12b on the substrate 14 comprise ENIG pads (col. 2:11-45),

except Fukano doesn't explicitly teach the ferromagnetic material in the bonding pads comprise nickel; wherein the magnetic layer comprises a magnetic material including at least one of nickel and a ferronickel alloy.

Chan teaches the ferromagnetic material in the bonding pads 43, 44, fig. 4 (col. 5:4-9) comprise nickel (col 3:7-11); wherein the magnetic layer comprises (col. 2:52-61) a magnetic material including at least one of nickel and a ferronickel alloy (col. 5:4-10).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made for Fukano to include in his invention wherein the ferromagnetic material in the bonding pads comprise nickel; and wherein the magnetic layer comprises a magnetic material including at least one of nickel and a ferronickel alloy, as taught by Chan in order to provide a magnetic force of a sufficient magnitude to hold the surface mount component on the substrate before and during soldering.

Regarding claims 4-5 and 21-22: Fukano as modified by the teaching of Chan, discloses the assembly having all of the claimed features as discussed above with respect to claim 1(19), wherein soldering comprises a reflow process, and wherein the magnetic layer comprises a magnetic material. Fukano teaches the content of the ferromagnetic material can be adjusted to obtain the desired amount of magnetism,

except Fukano doesn't explicitly teach a magnetic material having a Courier temperature that is above a peak reflow temperature range of the solder; and wherein the magnetic layer comprises a magnetic material having a remanence adapted to

have a minimum impact on a performance of circuits within the SMT component or within the substrate.

Page 6

Chan teaches in fig. 3 how to choose content of the alloy of the ferromagnetic material to have needed the Curie temperature and having a remanence adapted to have a minimum impact on a performance.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made for Fukano to include in his invention a magnetic material having a Courier temperature that is above a peak reflow temperature range of the solder; and wherein the magnetic layer comprises a magnetic material having a remanence adapted to have a minimum impact on a performance of circuits within the SMT component or within the substrate, as taught by Chan in order to provide a magnetic force of a sufficient magnitude to hold the surface mount component on the substrate before and during soldering.

Regarding claims 7 and 24: Fukano as modified by the teaching of Chan, discloses the assembly having all of the claimed features as discussed above with respect to claim 1(19). Fukano teaches the content and thickness of the ferromagnetic material can be adjusted to obtain the desired amount of magnetism. Although Fukano doesn't explicitly teach the magnetic layer has a thickness between about 1 micron and about 5 microns.

Chan teaches the magnetic layer 19, fig. 1 has a thickness between about 200 micron (col. 3:37-39).

Further the courts have held that change in size of configuration, without any criticality, is within the level of skill in the art as particular size claimed by applicant is nothing more than one of numerous shape or size that a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious to provide using routine experimentation based on its suitability for the intended use of the invention, See In re Dailey, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966). Furthermore "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to change the thickness of the magnetic layer disclosed by Fukano to has a thickness between about 1 micron and about 5 microns in order to obtain the desired amount of magnetism and since the courts have held that change in shape or change in size configuration, without any criticality, is within the level of skill in the art as particular shape or size claimed by applicant is nothing more than one of numerous shape or size that a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious to provide using routine experimentation based on its suitability for the intended use of the invention, See In re Dailey, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Regarding claims 8 and 25: Fukano as modified by the teaching of Chan, discloses the assembly having all of the claimed features as discussed above with respect to claim 1(19),

except Fukano doesn't explicitly teach the magnetic layer is one of a continuous layer and a discontinuous layer.

Chan teaches in fig. 1 the magnetic layer 21 is one of a continuous layer and a discontinuous layer (col. 2:52-61).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made for Fukano to include in his invention the magnetic layer is one of a continuous layer and a discontinuous layer, as taught by Chan in order to reduce production's cost.

Regarding claims 9-10 and 26-27: Fukano as modified by the teaching of Chan, discloses the assembly having all of the claimed features as discussed above with respect to claim 8,

except Fukano doesn't explicitly teach the magnetic layer comprises sublayers defining a pattern adapted to minimize impact on circuits of the surface mount component from a magnetic field of the magnetic layer; and the magnetic layer comprises sublayers defining a pattern corresponding to a pattern of the bonding pads on the substrate.

Chan teaches in fig. 1 the magnetic layer 21 comprises sublayers defining a pattern (col. 2:26-36) adapted to minimize impact on circuits of the surface mount component from a magnetic field of the magnetic layer; and the magnetic layer comprises sublayers defining a pattern (col. 5: 9-11) corresponding to a pattern of the bonding pads on the substrate. Further the courts have held that change in shape of configuration, without any criticality, is within the level of skill in the art as particular shape claimed by applicant is nothing more than one of numerous shape that a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious to provide using routine experimentation based on its suitability for the intended use of the invention, See In re Dailey, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made for Fukano to include in his invention the magnetic layer comprises sublayers defining a pattern adapted to minimize impact on circuits of the surface mount component from a magnetic field of the magnetic layer; and the magnetic layer comprises sublayers defining a pattern corresponding to a pattern of the bonding pads on the substrate, as taught by Chan in order to reduce production's cost and since the courts have held that change in shape or change in size configuration, without any criticality, is within the level of skill in the art as particular shape or size claimed by applicant is nothing more than one of numerous shape or size that a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious to provide using routine experimentation based on its suitability for the intended use of the invention, See In re Dailey, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

6.2. Claims 2 and 20 are rejected under 35U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukano in view of Chan as applied to claims 1, 3-10, 19 and 21-27 and further in view of Admitted by Applicant Prior Art (Background of Invention section), hereinafter AAPA.

Regarding claims 2 and 20: Fukano as modified by the teaching of Chan, discloses the assembly having all of the claimed features as discussed above with respect to claim 1(19),

except, Fukano doesn't explicitly teach the surface mount component is a capacitor.

AAPA teaches in fig. 1a, b the surface mount component is a capacitor 16, (page 2, [0004]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made for Fukano to include in his invention the surface mount component is a capacitor, as taught by AAPA in order to reduce production's cost.

Page 9

6.3. Claims 28 and 30 are rejected under 35U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukano in view of Chan as applied to claims 1, 3-10, 19 and 21-25 and further in view of Dalal et al., (US 6618267) hereinafter Dalal.

Regarding claim 28: Fukano discloses in Fig. 4 a system comprising: a microelectronic assembly including: a substrate 14 having bonding pads 12a and 12b disposed on a mounting surface thereof, the bonding pads including a ferromagnetic material 22 therein (col. 2:26-27); solidified solder 30 disposed on the bonding pads; a surface mount component 34 bonded to the substrate 14 by way of the solidified solder 30 and including a magnetic layer 32 disposed on a substrate side thereof (col. 2:48-53), the magnetic layer being adapted to cooperate with a ferromagnetic material in the bonding pads to establish a magnetic force of a sufficient magnitude to hold the surface mount component on the substrate before and during soldering (col. 2:45-53). The magnetic layer 32 is removed after magnetized. So Fukano doesn't explicitly teach that a surface mount component including a magnetic layer disposed on a substrate side thereof.

Chan teaches in fig. 4 a microelectronic assembly comprising: a substrate 10 having bonding pads 34, 35 disposed on a mounting surface thereof, mount component 40 (co. 4:10-13) bonded to the substrate including a magnetic layer 21, fig. 1 disposed on a substrate side thereof (col. 5:4-10).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made for Fukano to include in his invention a surface mount component including a magnetic layer disposed on a substrate side thereof, as taught

by Chan in order to provide a magnetic force of a sufficient magnitude to hold the surface mount component on the substrate before and during soldering.

Fukano fail also to explicitly teach a main memory coupled to the microelectronic assembly.

Dalal teaches in fig. 1a, b, c, a main memory 116 and 118 coupled to the microelectronic assembly 110.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made for Fukano to include in his invention the a main memory coupled to the microelectronic assembly, as taught by Dalal in order to create microelectronic device.

Regarding claim 30: Fukano as modified by the teaching of Chan and Dalal, discloses the assembly having all of the claimed features as discussed above with respect to claim 28, wherein the bonding pads 12a and 12b on the substrate 14 comprise ENIG pads (col. 2:11-45),

except Fukano doesn't explicitly teach the ferromagnetic material in the bonding pads comprise nickel;

Chan teaches the ferromagnetic material in the bonding pads 43, 44, fig. 4 (col. 5:4-9) comprise nickel (col 3:7-11); wherein the magnetic layer comprises (col. 2:52-61) a magnetic material including at least one of nickel and a ferronickel alloy (col. 5:4-10).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made for Fukano to include in his invention wherein the ferromagnetic material in the bonding pads comprise nickel, as taught by Chan in order to provide a magnetic force of a sufficient magnitude to hold the surface mount component on the substrate before and during soldering.

6.4. Claim 29 is rejected under 35U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukano in view of Chan and in view of Dalal as applied to claims 28 and 30 and further in view

Art Unit: 2841

of Admitted by Applicant Prior Art (Background of Invention section), hereinafter AAPA.

Regarding claim 29: Fukano as modified by the teaching of Chan and Dalal, discloses the assembly having all of the claimed features as discussed above with respect to Claim 29,

except Fukano doesn't explicitly teach the surface mount component is a capacitor.

AAPA teaches in fig. 1a, b the surface mount component is a capacitor 16, (page 2, [0004]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made for Fukano to include in his invention the surface mount component is a capacitor, as taught by AAPA in order to reduce production's cost.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2841

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yuriy Semenenko whose telephone number is (571) 272-6106. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A. Reichard can be reached on (571)- 272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Y. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 2841 /Dean A. Reichard/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 2841